

1                   DELEGATE HENDERSON: I think the only instance  
2 I recall in which such a situation developed was over  
3 reapportionment. That, of course, is a very exceptional  
4 case.

5                   This business of saying that where an office  
6 must be filled, it can be filled in the alternative by  
7 someone else is, I think, not a departure from general  
8 principle. I think that's not an unusual provision to  
9 provide against the possibility of having a stalemate  
10 and impasse so that a vital office remains unfilled.  
11 That is exactly the situation which occurred in at least  
12 one state where such an impasse did develop. That's the  
13 reason we sought to cover it here.

14                  THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Pullen.

15                  DELEGATE PULLEN: I don't think we ought to  
16 argue this case but I cannot see the difference in logic.

17                  THE CHAIRMAN: You have opportunity to debate  
18 it later, Delegate Pullen.

19                  DELEGATE PULLEN: Can I put it in the form of a  
20 question?

21                  THE CHAIRMAN: State your question.